## Partial Differential Equations (TATA27) Spring Semester 2017 Homework 4

4.1 Let  $\Omega$  be an open set with  $C^1$  boundary. For  $\lambda \geq 0$ , define the energy of each continuously differentiable  $v: \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbf{R}$  to be

$$E_{\lambda}[v] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \lambda |v(\mathbf{x})|^2) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Show that a function  $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  which satisfies  $\Delta u - \lambda u = 0$  in  $\Omega$  is such that

 $E_{\lambda}[u] \le E_{\lambda}[v]$ 

for all  $v \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $v(\mathbf{x}) = u(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$ .

Observe that the energy  $E_{\lambda}[v]$  makes sense for functions in  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ , but (assuming a solution to the corresponding boundary value problem exists) a minimiser can sometimes be found in a better class. For example, if  $\lambda = 0$ , Lemma 5.5 tells us any solution u is smooth in  $\Omega$ .

4.2 Let  $\Omega$  be an open set with  $C^1$  boundary and  $h: \partial \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$  a  $C^1$  function. Define the energy of each continuously differentiable  $v: \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$  to be

$$E_h[v] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\partial \Omega} h(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}).$$

Show that a function  $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  which satisfies the boundary value problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \text{ and} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} := \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u = h & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{array} \right.$$

is such that

 $E_h[u] \le E_h[v]$ 

for all  $v \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ . Here **n** is the outward unit normal to  $\partial \Omega$ .

Here, in contrast to question 4.1, the boundary condition  $\partial u/\partial \mathbf{n} = h$  is incorporated into the energy and we see that a solution u is a minimum of  $E_h$  over all  $v \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  regardless of how v behaves at the boundary.

- 4.3 The aim of this question is to prove Theorem 5.12. Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set with  $C^2$  boundary.
  - (a) In this part of the question we will prove that the Green's function for the Laplacian in  $\Omega$  is unique. Suppose we have two Green's functions  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  for the Laplacian in  $\Omega$ .
    - i. For each fixed  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ , prove that  $\mathbf{y} \mapsto G_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) G_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  has a continuous extension which belongs to  $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  and is harmonic in  $\Omega$ .
    - ii. By considering a boundary value problem that  $\mathbf{y} \mapsto G_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) G_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  solves, prove that  $G_1 = G_2$ .
  - (b) We now wish to prove the Green's function is symmetric, that is  $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega$ .
    - i. Fix  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega$  with  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$  and consider the functions  $\mathbf{z} \mapsto u(\mathbf{z}) := G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$  and  $\mathbf{z} \mapsto v(\mathbf{z}) := G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ . Apply Green's second identity (5.10) to u and v in the domain  $\underline{\Omega_r} := \Omega \setminus (B_r(\mathbf{x}) \cup B_r(\mathbf{y}))$  for r > 0 so small that  $(B_r(\mathbf{x}) \cup B_r(\mathbf{y})) \subset \Omega$  and  $B_r(\mathbf{x}) \cap \overline{B_r(\mathbf{y})} = \emptyset$  to obtain that

$$0 = \int_{\partial B_{r}(\mathbf{x})} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{y}, \cdot)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z}) - G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z}) d\sigma(\mathbf{z}) + \int_{\partial B_{r}(\mathbf{y})} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{y}, \cdot)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z}) - G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z}) d\sigma(\mathbf{z}).$$
(†)

ii. Using the definition of the Green's function, prove that

$$\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{x})} \left( G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) - \Phi(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}) \right) \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{y}, \cdot)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z}) d\sigma(\mathbf{z}) \to 0$$

and

$$\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{x})} G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \left( \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z}) - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}) \right) d\sigma(\mathbf{z}) \to 0$$

as  $r \to 0$ .

iii. Using the same ideas as in the proof of Lemma 5.9 prove that

$$\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{x})} \Phi(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{y}, \cdot)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z}) d\sigma(\mathbf{z}) = 0$$

and

$$\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{x})} G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{z}) = -G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}).$$

iv. Combine the results above to show that

$$\int_{\partial B_r(\mathbf{x})} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{y}, \cdot)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z}) - G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z}) d\sigma(\mathbf{z}) \to G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}).$$
(‡)

as  $r \to 0$ . (Observe the left-hand side of (‡) is the first term on the right-hand side of (†).)

- v. Swap the roles of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in (‡) to conclude a similar statement for the second term on the right-hand side of (†). Combine your answer with (†) and (‡) to prove  $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ .
- 4.4 Prove the following lemma, which is a generalisation of Lemma 5.9 that does not assume that u is harmonic.

**Lemma.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set with  $C^1$  boundary and suppose that  $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  is such that  $\Delta u = f$  for some  $f \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ . Then

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ \Phi(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right) (\mathbf{y}) - \left( \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right) (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) u(\mathbf{y}) \right\} d\sigma(\mathbf{y}) - \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{y}) \Phi(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

for each  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ .

[Hint: Follow the proof of Lemma 5.9.]

4.5 Use the lemma from question 4.4 to prove the following generalisation of Theorem 5.11.

**Theorem.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be an open bounded set with  $C^2$  boundary, and suppose  $h \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ and  $f \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ . If G is a Green's function for the Laplacian in  $\Omega$  then the solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = f & in \ \Omega, \ and \\ u = h & on \ \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(\*)

is given by

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = -\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial G(\mathbf{x},\cdot)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{y})\right) h(\mathbf{y}) d\sigma(\mathbf{y}) + \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) G(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

where  $(\partial G(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)/\partial \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{y}) := \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is the normal derivative of  $\mathbf{y} \mapsto G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ .

We proved the uniqueness of solutions to (\*) in Section 5.2 of our notes, so when we can find a Green's function we have both the existence and uniqueness of solutions to (\*).